

PRESIDENT'S OFFICE - PUBLIC SERVICE MANAGEMENT e-GOVERNMENT AGENCY

Document Title

Creation of ICT Security Policy – Technical Guide

Document Number

eGA/EXT/ISA/003

APPROVAL	Name	Job Title/ Role	Signature	Date
Approved by	Dr. Jabiri Bakari	Chief Executive Officer		

Version 1.0 – February 2016

Table of Contents

1 0	OVERVIEW	2
1.1.	Introduction	
	Rationale	
	Purpose	
1.4.	Scope	3
2 T	HE TECHNICAL GUIDELINES	3
2.1.	Step 1 - ICT Security Risk assessment	3
	Step 2 - Risk Treatment	
	Step 3 - The ICT Security Policy	
3 II	MPLEMENTATION, REVIEW AND ENFORCEMENT	9
4 A	CRONYMS	9
5 R	RELATED DOCUMENTS	10
6 D	OCUMENT CONTROL	10
7 A'	TTACHMENTS	10

Title: Creation of ICT Security Policy - Technical Guide

1 OVERVIEW

1.1. Introduction

The e-Government Agency (eGA) is established under the Executive Agencies Act

No.30, 1997, Cap. 245 as a semi-autonomous Institution under President's Office

Public Service Management. eGA is charged with the mandate of providing

coordination, oversight and provision of e-Government initiatives and enforcement

of e-Government standards to Public Institutions. In executing its duties, eGA shall

implement and maintain a coordinated government operations for Information and

Communication Technology (ICT) that include the formulation of standards,

technical guidelines and procedures to effectuate the purposes of the Agency.

It is of prime importance that Public Institutions implement the necessary controls

to ensure that the information and technology assets are protected from all types of

threats, whether internal or external, deliberate or accidental, using Institutional

ICT Security Policy Document. Since protection of information is expensive, not all

controls that are provided in the ICT Security Samples, needs to be adopted by

institution. The Head of ICT or Head of ICT Security, that is responsible for

preparation of ICT Security policy, need to choose only those controls that are

applicable to the institution by using risk management procedures.

1.2. Rationale

Pursuant to Strategic Plan 2012/13-2016/17, it is the objective of the Government

through e-Government Agency to improve Public institutions capacity to implement

e-Government Initiatives. The Government also needs e-Government Standards and

Guidelines to be formulated and operationalized so that e-Government initiatives

are coordinated, managed and comply with Government requirements.

Document Number: eGA/EXT/ISA/003

Version: 1.0 – Feb 2016 Owner: e-Government Agency

Title: Creation of ICT Security Policy – Technical Guide

THE UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA PRESIDENT'S OFFICE - PUBLIC SERVICE MANAGEMENT

e-GOVERNMENT AGENCY

1.3. **Purpose**

The purpose of this document is to ensure that ICT Security Policy, is created properly based on ICT Security requirement of the Institution. It provides guidelines for adapting the ICT Security Policy to the needs of Public Institutions. document provides more technical details and is part of eGovernment Security Architecture (eGA/EXT/ISA/001) that is directed in "eGovernment Guideline, 2016".

1.4. Scope

This document applies to all Head of ICT/ICT Security who are responsible for the development of ICT Security Policy within their Institutions.

THE TECHNICAL GUIDELINES

Step 1 - ICT Security Risk assessment

2.1.1 Review of ICT Asset Inventory

- 2.1.1.1. The Head of ICT/ICT Security need to identify all the information assets of and record them in the following categories:
 - Electronic information (e.g. database and data files, test data, i. backup data, system configuration).
 - ii. Physical information (e.g. files, user manuals, contracts, system documentation).

2.1.2 Identification of Security Threats

2.1.2.1. The Head of ICT/ICT Security will identify realistic threats to assets. The main threats that can lead to the compromise of information are provided in the table below:

Ref.	Description	
External attack		
R1	Carrying out denial of service attacks	

Document Number: eGA/EXT/ISA/003 Version: 1.0 - Feb 2016 Owner: e-Government Agency Page 3

PRESIDENT'S OFFICE - PUBLIC SERVICE MANAGEMENT

e-GOVERNMENT AGENCY

Ref.	Description
R2	Hacking
R3	Undertaking malicious probes or scans
R4	Cracking passwords
R5	Cracking keys
R6	Defacing web sites
R7	Spoofing web sites
R8	Spoofing user identities
R9	Modifying network traffic
R10	Eavesdropping
R11	Distributing computer viruses (including worms)
R12	Introducing Trojan horses
R13	
R14	Introducing malicious code
R15	Carrying out social engineering
	Distributing spam
Internal misuse	
R16	Gaining unauthorised access to systems or networks
R17	Changing system privileges without authorisation
R18	Changing or adding software without authorisation
R19	Modifying or inserting transactions, files or databases
700	without authorisation
R20	Misusing systems to cause disruption
R21	Misusing systems to commit fraud
R22	Downloading or sending of inappropriate content
R23	Installing unauthorised software
R24	Disclosing authentication information
R25	Disclosing business information
Loss or theft	
R26	Software piracy
R27	Theft of business information
R28	Theft of identity information (e.g. as a result of
	Phishing)
R29	Theft of computer equipment
R30	Theft of portable computers and storage devices
R31	Theft of authentication information
R32	Theft of software
Service malfund	
R33	Malfunction of business application software
	developed in-house
R34	Malfunction of business application software acquired
	from a third party
R35	Malfunction of system software
R36	Malfunction of computer/network equipment
Service interru	<u>ption</u>

Document Number: eGA/EXT/ISA/003 Version: 1.0 – Feb 2016 Owner: e-Government Agency
Title: Creation of ICT Security Policy – Technical Guide Page 4

PRESIDENT'S OFFICE - PUBLIC SERVICE MANAGEMENT

e-GOVERNMENT AGENCY

Ref.	Description
R37	Damage to or loss of computer facilities
R38	Damage to or loss of communications links/services.
R39	Loss of power
R40	Damage to or loss of ancillary equipment
R41	Natural disasters
R42	System overload
<u>Human error</u>	
R43	User errors
R44	IT/network staff errors
Unforeseen effe	ects of changes
R45	Unforeseen effects of introducing new/upgraded
	business processes
R46	Unforeseen effect of changes to software
R47	Unforeseen effect of changes to business information
R48	Unforeseen effect of changes to
	computer/communications equipment
R49	Unforeseen effects of organisational changes
R50	Unforeseen effects of changes to user processes or
	facilities
Legal and regul	atory threats
R51	Breach of data protection or contractual data
	requirements
R52	Cross border or discovery risk
R53	Breach of EU directive on the use of cookies
R54	Breach of legal and/or regulatory requirements in
	relation to data retention

2.1.2.2. The Head of ICT/ICT Security will list down the threats associated to the different asset type and asset name as per table below.

Asset Type	Asset Name	Threats identified
<< Physical or	< <as naming<="" per="" td="" the=""><td><<based on="" table<="" td="" the=""></based></td></as>	< <based on="" table<="" td="" the=""></based>
electronic>>	convention of the	provided in section
	institution>>	2.1.2.1 above>>

2.1.3 Identification of Vulnerabilities

2.1.3.1. Vulnerability is an attribute of a secondary asset or a weak/missing control that can be used or exploited in a way, or for a purpose, other than that intended. Typical types and examples of vulnerabilities are shown below:

Version: 1.0 - Feb 2016 Owner: e-Government Agency Title: Creation of ICT Security Policy - Technical Guide Page 5

PRESIDENT'S OFFICE - PUBLIC SERVICE MANAGEMENT

e-GOVERNMENT AGENCY

- i. Security weakness in system or software that could be exploited by a hacker;
- ii. Single point of failure of a system or service that could lead to loss or unavailability of data;
- iii. Site location in an area susceptible to flooding that could lead to loss or unavailability of data.
- iv. Susceptibility of electronic media to technical failure that could lead to loss or unavailability of data;
- v. Difficult to use or complicated user interface that could lead to human error; and
- vi. Cultural issues or territory specific legal or regulatory framework that may prevent the implementation or reduce the effectiveness of some controls.
- 2.1.3.2. The Head of ICT/ICT Security will list down the vulnerabilities associated with each threat as per table below.

Asset Type	Asset Name	Threats identified	Possible
			vulnerabilities
<< Physical or	< <as per="" td="" the<=""><td><<based on="" td="" the<=""><td><< The</td></based></td></as>	< <based on="" td="" the<=""><td><< The</td></based>	<< The
electronic>>	naming	table provided in	potential
	convention of	section 2.1.2.1	vulnerabilities
	the institution>>	above>>	leading to each
			threat is
			identified>>

2.1.3.3. The likelihood of such a threat exploiting the vulnerability will be considered making the assumption that no control is currently in place. Likelihood will have a value ranging from 1 – 3 as illustrated in the table below:

Value	Likelihood level
1	0 – 40 % possibility of occurrence
2	40 – 70 % possibility of occurrence
3	> 70% possibility of occurrence

2.1.3.4. The Head of ICT/ICT Security will list down the likelihood of each type of threat based on the value provided above.

Document Number: eGA/EXT/ISA/003 Version: 1.0 – Feb 2016 Owner: e-Government Agency
Title: Creation of ICT Security Policy – Technical Guide Page 6

Asset Type	Asset Name	Threats	Possible	Likelihood
		identified	vulnerabilities	
<< Physical or	< <as per="" td="" the<=""><td><<based on<="" td=""><td><< The</td><td><<based< td=""></based<></td></based></td></as>	< <based on<="" td=""><td><< The</td><td><<based< td=""></based<></td></based>	<< The	< <based< td=""></based<>
electronic>>	naming	the table	potential	on table
	convention of	provided in	vulnerabilities	above>>
	the	section	leading to	
	institution>>	2.1.2.1	each threat is	
		above>>	identified>>	

2.1.3.1. The impact of a vulnerability being exploited by a threat to the business is critical in deciding the level of control required. For example, it will indicate whether there is a need to implement one or many controls to mitigate the risk. The bigger the risk exposure and potential damage to the company, the more assurance through implementation of controls or other actions will be necessary. Impact will have a value ranging from 1 – 3 as illustrated in the table below:

Value	Impact level	
1	Minimal impact to the Public Institution	
2	Impact that may potential affect the	
	organization	
3	High impact to the Public Institution	

2.1.3.2. The Head of ICT/ICT Security will list down the impact of each type of threat based on the value provided above.

Asset Type	Asset Name	Threats identified	Possible vulnerabilitie	Likelihood	Impact
			s		
< <physica< td=""><td><<as per<="" td=""><td><<based on<="" td=""><td><< The</td><td><<based< td=""><td><<based< td=""></based<></td></based<></td></based></td></as></td></physica<>	< <as per<="" td=""><td><<based on<="" td=""><td><< The</td><td><<based< td=""><td><<based< td=""></based<></td></based<></td></based></td></as>	< <based on<="" td=""><td><< The</td><td><<based< td=""><td><<based< td=""></based<></td></based<></td></based>	<< The	< <based< td=""><td><<based< td=""></based<></td></based<>	< <based< td=""></based<>
1 or	the naming	the table	potential	on table	on table
electronic	convention	provided in	vulnerabiliti	in	in
>>	of the	section	es leading	section	section
	institution>	2.1.2.1	to each	2.1.3.3>>	2.1.3.5>>
	>	above>>	threat is		
			identified>>		

Document Number: eGA/EXT/ISA/003 Version: 1.0 - Feb 2016 Owner: e-Government Agency Title: Creation of ICT Security Policy - Technical Guide Page 7

2.1.4 Calculation of risks

- 2.1.4.1. Once the potential impact to the business has been identified and assessed the following formula is used to calculate the Risk Exposure: Risk Exposure = Impact x Likelihood
- 2.1.4.2. Based on the values provided for each variable, the Risk Exposure will range from 1 (being the least risk exposure) to 9 (being the most critical risk exposure).
- 2.1.4.3. The Head of ICT/ICT Security will calculate the risk exposure associated to the assets based on the above formula.

Asset	Asset Name	Threats	Possible	Likelihoo	Impact	Risk
Type		identified	vulnerabilities	d		Exposure
< <phys< td=""><td><<as per="" td="" the<=""><td><<based on="" td="" the<=""><td><< The</td><td><<based< td=""><td><<base< td=""><td><based< td=""></based<></td></base<></td></based<></td></based></td></as></td></phys<>	< <as per="" td="" the<=""><td><<based on="" td="" the<=""><td><< The</td><td><<based< td=""><td><<base< td=""><td><based< td=""></based<></td></base<></td></based<></td></based></td></as>	< <based on="" td="" the<=""><td><< The</td><td><<based< td=""><td><<base< td=""><td><based< td=""></based<></td></base<></td></based<></td></based>	<< The	< <based< td=""><td><<base< td=""><td><based< td=""></based<></td></base<></td></based<>	< <base< td=""><td><based< td=""></based<></td></base<>	<based< td=""></based<>
ical or	naming	table provided	potential	on table	d on	on the
electro	convention of	in section	vulnerabilities	in	table	above
nic>>	the	2.1.2.1	leading to each	section	above>	formula
	institution>>	above>>	threat is	2.1.3.3>	>	3
			identified>>	>		

2.2. Step 2 - Risk Treatment

- 2.2.1. The Head of ICT/ICT Security is responsible for establishing and maintaining a Risk Treatment Plan (RTP). The RTP identifies the controls to be implemented in order to mitigate the identified risks.
- 2.2.2. The Head of ICT/ICT Security will detail the risk treatment plan as per the template below.

Risk Priority	Possible Risk	Risk Rating	Results	Person	Time	How the
	Treatment	after	Cost benefit	responsibl	Frame	risk and
	Option	treatment	Analysis	e for	for	the
			(A- Accept	implement	implem	treatment
			R- Reject)	ation of	entatio	will be
				option	n	monitored.
< <detail out<="" td=""><td><<detail out<="" td=""><td><<quantify< td=""><td><<</td><td><<concer< td=""><td><<In</td><td><define< td=""></define<></td></concer<></td></quantify<></td></detail></td></detail>	< <detail out<="" td=""><td><<quantify< td=""><td><<</td><td><<concer< td=""><td><<In</td><td><define< td=""></define<></td></concer<></td></quantify<></td></detail>	< <quantify< td=""><td><<</td><td><<concer< td=""><td><<In</td><td><define< td=""></define<></td></concer<></td></quantify<>	<<	< <concer< td=""><td><<In</td><td><define< td=""></define<></td></concer<>	<< In	<define< td=""></define<>
the risk	the possible	the risk	Calculate	ned	days or	evaluatio
associated to	options to treat	rating post	the cost of	Person>>	month	n criteria
assets based	the risk as per	treatment	the		s or	>
on the risk	10 Government	option>>	treatment		year>>	
exposure>	ICT Security		against the			
	Domains >>		benefit>			

Version: 1.0 - Feb 2016 Owner: e-Government Agency Title: Creation of ICT Security Policy - Technical Guide Page 8

PRESIDENT'S OFFICE - PUBLIC SERVICE MANAGEMENT

e-GOVERNMENT AGENCY

- 2.2.3. The Head of ICT/ICT Security will use the risk assessment and risk treatment plan to determine security controls as defined in the ICT Security Policy Sample and are applicable to his/her Public Institution.
- 2.2.4. The RTP is be approved by the management team.

2.3. Step 3 - The ICT Security Policy

- 2.3.1. The "ICT Security Policy Sample" is a sample developed, for purpose of assisting the Head of ICT/Security to develop the ICT Security Policy of the Institution. It should be modified with additions or deletions, to suite Public Institution's need.
- 2.3.2. The Head of ICT/ICT Security will amend the sample by keeping only those controls deemed necessary to mitigate the identified risks and retain the Sample with only the controls that are applicable to the Institution.
- 2.3.3. Any security control that is made Mandatory to Public Institution by the Government, through eGovernment Policy, eGovernment Guidelines or eGovernment Standards will be retained in the Sample or added to the Sample.
- 2.3.4. The document is approved by the Accounting Officer (Head of Institution) or Board of Directors as will be decided be the Institution.
- 2.3.5. The copy of approved ICT Security Policy document is sent to eGA.

3 IMPLEMENTATION, REVIEW AND ENFORCEMENT

- 3.1. This document takes effect from February 2016.
- 3.2. This document is subject to review at least once every three years.

4 ACRONYMS

4.1. eGA – eGovernment Agency.

Document Number: eGA/EXT/ISA/003 Version: 1.0 – Feb 2016 Owner: e-Government Agency
Title: Creation of ICT Security Policy – Technical Guide Page 9

THE UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA PRESIDENT'S OFFICE - PUBLIC SERVICE MANAGEMENT

e-GOVERNMENT AGENCY

- 4.2. EU - European Union.
- 4.3. ICT Information and Communication Technology
- 4.4. RPT Risk Treatment Plan.

RELATED DOCUMENTS

- 5.1. eGovernment Guideline, 2016.
- 5.2. Creation of Government ICT Management Documents - Technical Guide (eGA/EXT/AVS/003).
- 5.3. eGovernment Security Architecture - Standards and Technical Guidelines (eGA/EXT/ISA/001)

DOCUMENT CONTROL

Version	Name	Comment	Date
Ver. 1.0	eGA	Creation of Document	Feb 2016

ATTACHMENTS

5.4. ICT Security Policy Sample (eGA/EXT/SAM/002)

Document Number: eGA/EXT/ISA/003 Version: 1.0 - Feb 2016 Owner: e-Government Agency Title: Creation of ICT Security Policy - Technical Guide Page 10